

To: Rodriguez, Dante[Rodriguez.Dante@epa.gov]
From: Jeryl Gardner
Sent: Fri 2/3/2017 5:42:03 PM
Subject: RE: NDEP Comments and Schedule

.....
>>>>>

Thanks, Dante.

So, you may ignore my recent email requesting the latest version of the PP RTCs table.
I will just wait until next week and work on both after CBI submits draft ROD and RTCs.

Thanks,
Jeryl

Jeryl R. Gardner, P.E., C.E.M.
Abandoned Mine Lands Program Manager
Bureau of Corrective Actions, NDEP
775-687-9484
jgardner@ndep.nv.gov

From: Rodriguez, Dante [Rodriguez.Dante@epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, February 3, 2017 9:38 AM
To: Cacciatore, David
Cc: Adams, Martha; Jeryl Gardner; Dave Davis (drdavis@blm.gov)
Subject: RE: NDEP Comments and Schedule

David,

Thanks for letting me know. I had in my notes that the original plan was for you to submit the revisions by 2/3 but if needed you could have 2-3 additional business days. So we are still within the plan!

Jeryl and Dave,

Expect to receive the revised draft ROD by COB 2/8 instead of today. Thanks!

Dante Rodriguez

Remedial Project Manager

U.S. EPA Region 9

75 Hawthorne Street, SFD-8-2

San Francisco, CA 94105

(415)972-3166

From: Cacciatore, David [mailto:David.Cacciatore@CBIFederalServices.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2017 1:56 PM
To: Rodriguez, Dante
Cc: Adams, Martha
Subject: RE: NDEP Comments and Schedule

Dante,

Just a clarification from below. We plan on submitting the ROD package (RTCs and document in tracked changes) to you on Wednesday, February 8.

Thank you,

David

From: Cacciatore, David
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2017 4:29 PM
To: Dante Rodriguez
Cc: Adams, Martha
Subject: NDEP Comments and Schedule

Dante:

During the CB&I Anaconda OU8 ROD team's discussions, we identified some items that we would like to have some input from you:

1. Were you planning to review the NDEP comments before we change anything, in case there are any EPA issues with the wording? It could save us some time if there is a conflict.
2. NDEP seems to have issues with the use of the word "treatment" and wants to use the language of the PP. In incorporating comments, we need to know if EPA agrees with that change. Our concern is that evaporation is a passive treatment technology and CERCLA has a preference for treatment, in fact one section of the ROD is for that discussion. It may take away a major point for selection of an alternative (preference for treatment).
3. NDEP wants to use the exact language used in the PP for the ROD in parts. This is probably ok, but it can modify some items.
4. NDEP seems to have an issue with the size of the site (NDEP comment JG4: 3500 acres vs 3400 acres). Do you agree with his suggested change?

The CB&I team will need to have the extra 3 working days to address the comments. We plan on submitting the ROD package (RTCs and document in tracked changes) to you on Wednesday, October 8.

Thank you,

David



David A. Cacciatore, Ph.D., PE, PMP

Engineering Manager

Federal Services

Environmental & Infrastructure

Tel: +1-925-288-2299

Cell: +1-925-383-9789

david.cacciatore@cbifederalservices.com

CB&I

4005 Port Chicago Highway

Suite 200

Concord, CA 94520

United States of America

www.CBI.com